



**Enfield Local Plan Examination January 2025
Written Statement Matter 2
Housing Need and Supply**

Savills on behalf of Comer Homes

**Written Statement Matter 2
Housing Need and Supply**

Issue 2.2: Whether the approach to meeting needs for Gypsy and Travellers is justified, positively prepared, consistent with national policy and in general conformity with the London Plan?

Q2.8: Is the identified need for Gypsy and Traveller pitches set out in Policy H10 justified and positively prepared? Is it based on a robust and up-to-date assessment of need which follows national guidance?

Q2.9: If not, is the revised need set out in the updated GTAA, based on a robust and up-to-date assessment of need which follows national guidance?

Q2.10: Is the suggested main modification to Policy H10 necessary to make the Plan sound?

Q2.11: Is it justified, effective and consistent with national policy for the need identified in Policy H10 to be met through a separate development plan document? Does identifying allocations in a separate plan have any implications for the PSED?

Q2.12: Further to the above, does the Plan meet the requirements of the PPTS both in terms of identifying a supply of land to meet needs and/or setting out criteria for identifying allocations? Without specific allocations, how will a five-year supply of sites be identified?

Q2.13: Given that the requirement for Gypsy and Traveller sites is identified in this Plan and that policies PL10 and PL11 refer to the potential for such development within these areas, should the exceptional circumstances for altering Green Belt boundaries be established through this Plan?

Q2.14: If so, what does the Council consider the exceptional circumstances to be for altering Green Belt boundaries to meet the needs of Gypsies and Travellers?

Response

On behalf of Comer Homes, Savills submitted representations to London Borough of Enfield's Traveller Local Plan in November 2024. As set out in the representations, the Chase Park allocation already has to support a number of objectives, including 50% affordable housing, significant infrastructure costs and a primary school. We would be concerned by any additional requirements that further affect viability.