
Dear Sirs,

I strongly object to the following proposals:

- The building of 3,000 new houses at a ‘deeply green’ ‘sustainable urban extension’ referred to as ‘Chase Park’ (also known as Vicarage Farm) on the open Green Belt countryside next to Trent Park either side of the A110 (Enfield Road) between Oakwood and Enfield town ([Policy SP PL 10, pages 80-87, and Figure 3.11](#));
- The building of 3,000 new houses in a ‘sustainable settlement’ at Crews Hill with the potential for longer term expansion ([Policy SP PL9, pages 77-80 and Concept Plan Figure 3.10](#));
- The building of 160 homes in Green Belt countryside at Hadley Wood ([SA45: Land Between Camlet Way and Crescent Way, Hadley Wood, page 364](#));
- The development of 11 hectares of new industrial and storage and distribution use at what is currently agricultural land east of Junction 24 of the M25 at part of new Cottages and Holly Hill Farm within Enfield Chase ([SA54, page 374](#));
- The large expansion of the Spurs football training ground to the north of Whitewebbs Lane up to the M25, comprising of 42.5 hectares of land, for “professional sport, recreation and community sports/leisure uses” ([SA62 page 383 & SP CL4 pages 277–279](#));
- The encouragement for tall buildings, including in sensitive locations such as the town centre conservation area ([see pages 156-60, Figure 7.3, Figure 7.4 and Policy DE6, and SA2 Palace Gardens Shopping centre page 321](#)) and other areas including: Enfield Town Station, Southbury Station, Silver Street, Palace Gardens, Southgate Circus, Enfield Chase Station, Palmers Green, Oakwood Station, Arnos Grove.

In brief, the Green Belt is sacrosanct and should not be developed for housing, commercial or industrial buildings.

Construction of Tall Buildings should be discouraged with very few exceptions.

Station car parks should be retained and not developed.