

---

Hi,

I object to this on the below grounds:-

***Destroys a valued and valuable part of the Green Belt.*** Enfield's most recent Characterisation Study refers to the area as "a special area of landscape character which is a major asset for the borough. It is of both landscape and historic significance" and "The existing Green Belt boundary should be retained and protected, and future development and land use changes resisted".

***The proposal is an opportunistic development rather than a strategic decision.*** The site was not on the list for development in the 2018 consultation document and was only added when the Duchy of Lancaster, as landowner, made it a condition of their support for the Local Plan.

***Makes a significant contribution to the purposes and openness of the Green Belt.*** The Hadley Wood Heritage and Character Assessment notes that the site provides "important views" and the landscape "acts as a buffer separating Hadley Wood from other urban areas".

***Wrong location for a sustainable development.*** Poor transport links (PTAL 1a/b vs the London Plan 3-6 for developments around stations) and reliance on car; very limited local amenities, no local healthcare or secondary school, oversubscribed primary school; virtually no local employment.

***Traffic issues.*** Cockfosters Road is already often congested and the addition of over 3,000 homes at Chase Park would result in unacceptable traffic problems, with the 3,000 homes in Crews Hill and 30ha industrial park on The Ridgway adding to congestion at J24 of the M25.

Thanks