

---

Dear Sir/Madan,

I writing to express my objections and concerns to many of the policies listed in the recent Draft Local Plan.

I have lived and worked in Enfield since 2005, and owned a business since 2009. One of the major reasons for moving our family to Enfield was the local people and friendly village-like atmosphere along with the open green spaces available to us.

Sadly, over recent years Enfield has changed with over development particularly with housing which does NOT meet local resident requirements – and only consisting of luxury apartments which local families cannot afford. There seems to have been little thought to the infrastructure and the additional strain that these developments bring to working and living in Enfield.

It then really upset me to read in these draft plans the proposal to build on Enfield's beautiful green belt land to provide much needed local housing ... there has been so much inappropriate housing built, why did Enfield council not consider this "much needed local housing" in all these previous developments?!

I am therefore writing to make you aware of my specific objections to these draft plans, mostly those relating to Green Belt land. The specific policies I object to are as follows:

- The 'Spatial strategy' (section 2.4) which identifies how growth will be distributed across the Borough over the plan period and gives rise to the strategies for housing, employment, town centres and countryside green belt;
- 3,000 new houses at a 'deeply green' 'sustainable urban extension' referred to as 'Chase Park' (also known as Vicarage Farm) on the open Green Belt countryside next to Trent Park either side of the A110 (Enfield Road) between Oakwood and Enfield town (Policy SP PL 10, pages 80-87, and Figure 3.11);
- 3,000 new houses in a 'sustainable settlement' at Crews Hill with the potential for longer term expansion up to 7,500 new homes right up to the M25. (Policy SP PL9, pages 77-80 and Concept Plan Figure 3.10);
- 160 homes in Green Belt countryside at Hadley Wood (SA45: Land Between Camlet Way and Crescent Way, Hadley Wood, page 364);
- Industrial and office development in the Green Belt near Rammey Marsh (SA52 page 372);
- 11 hectares of new industrial and storage and distribution use at what is currently agricultural land east of Junction 24 of the M25 at part of new Cottages and Holly Hill Farm within Enfield Chase (SA54, page 374);

In addition, I have concerns about the following:

- Encouragement for tall buildings, including in sensitive locations such as the town centre conservation area (see pages 156-60, Figure 7.3, Figure 7.4 and Policy DE6, and SA2 Palace Gardens Shopping centre page 321). A higher quality version of figure 7.4 is also available on The Enfield Society website, showing proposed maximum building heights across the Borough.

- There is a full list of housing development sites within Policy SP H1 on pages 183-185 (section 8.1). In total over 30,000 homes are planned for by 2039. This equates to around 1,500 homes per year over 20 years, but only 429 have been completed since 2019 (Table 8.2, page 186).

Please can I ask you to consider these objections and concerns when reviewing these draft plans. I know there is a lot of concern from local residents right across Enfield about the loss of green belt land.

I thank you for your time and consideration, and I urge you to listen to local residents and preserve green belt land.

Best regards,