

---

I am writing to formally object to the following Policies as part of the Draft Enfield Plan:

SP PL10, pages 80-87, and Figure 3.11;

Policy SP PL9, pages 77-80 and Concept Plan Figure 3.10;

Policy SA45: Land Between Camlet Way and Crescent Way, Hadley Wood, page 364;

Policy SA54, page 374;

Policy SA62 page 372;

Policy SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279

All of which propose the dedesignation of green belt for housing and other purposes. These sites provide rare and valuable greenbelt landscapes and their loss would cause permanent harm not only to the green belt, but also to the very character of the borough.

I also object to Policies SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279 because they transfer part of Whitewebbs Park, a public amenity, into private management. I reject the Council's analysis that Whitewebbs Golf Course was losing money and call for its reinstatement.

I am also objecting to Policy SA52 page 372, which would remove part of Rammey Marsh, a wildlife area and public amenity, from the green belt.

Finally, I am also objecting to the tall building policies on pages 156-160, Figure 7.3, Figure 7.4 and Policy DE6, and SA2 Palace Gardens Shopping Centre page 321 which propose areas for and the acceptable height of tall buildings which, in many cases would mar the landscape and are unnecessary because other lower-rise building forms could provide the same accommodation, as stated in the policy.

Instead of building on green belt and public open ground the council and its members should be looking to utilise existing developed space and buildings whilst also considering how the development of 30, 000 new homes will increase the already congested main roads, impact on areas such as schooling, hospitals and doctors surgeries and transport links. The plans are short sighted and highly concerning that members of the council would support the proposed developments.

Please confirm receipt of my objections.

Sincerely