I am writing to object to the following Policies: SP PL10, pages 80-87, and Figure 3.11; Policy SP PL9, pages 77-80 and Concept Plan Figure 3.10; Policy SA45: Land Between Camlet Way and Crescent Way, Hadley Wood, page 364; Policy SA54, page 374; Policy SA62 page 372; and Policy SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279 – all of which propose the dedesignation of Green Belt for housing and other purposes.

Enfield is a special borough because of its wonderful green spaces, which form both part of the historic Enfield Chase but also give us amenity value of huge worth. The green belt was instigated for a reason and it was to protect the fringes of the city from creeping development and to provide lungs for the city – whilst also giving us places to walk and enjoy nature just a stone's through from London. The landscape is an important one and this development plan compromises that landscape irreversibly.

I am also objecting to Policy SA52 page 372, which would remove part of Rammey Marsh, a wildlife area and public amenity, from the Green Belt. As a borough we must be looking at development of greater density on brown-field sites and should be avoiding at all costs building on green-field areas which are vital to the amenity value of the borough.