I would like to add my name to the very high numbers objecting most strongly to the proposed site allocation allowing the development of 160 homes on Green Belt land. This is quite the wrong location for a sustainable development, and would destroy a valuable part of Enfield which has both landscape and historic significance. It also appears to contravene Enfield's own green policies

The site has poor public transport links (and would be reliant on cars), no local GP, no post office, no secondary school, an oversubscribed primary school and very limited employment. It is too small for infrastructure investment.

The plan fails to development or prioritise all brownfield sites in the area and fails to take account of the Hornbeam Hills Area of Special Character. 160 new homes do not warrant the investment needed to increase schools, healthcare services, shops etc. It is surrounded by conservation areas and Grade II listed buildings and would adversely impact these. The proposal appears to be an opportunistic development rather than a strategic decision.

The Council have also failed to make clear the necessary "exceptional circumstances" as to why this specific Green Belt site should be released for such a totally unsuitable development.