
To whom it concerns,

I'm horrified to hear about the proposals especially as most people don't know about these plans. I moved to Enfield as it's full of green places -this has been really important for my children as they grew up and really important for the many families that live here. The green spaces below have provided a safe haven for all of us during the pandemic when we had to socially distance and everything was closed. The impact of losing these green places on people's mental health and well being will be a really high price to pay. Greenspace , wild life and history once lost never comes back. There is no point building more houses at the expense of losing outdoor space. If you do this, it will affect the health of the residents of Enfield and children for generations to come.

*You don't know what you have until you lose it. Instead of building on greenbelt, make the most of it and let people know about it, so that people from miles can appreciate our borough for the beauty , history and character Enfield possesses. **Wake up Enfield Council!***

1. I am writing to object to the following Policies: SP PL10, pages 80-87, and Figure 3.11; Policy SP PL9, pages 77-80 and Concept Plan Figure 3.10; Policy SA45: Land Between Camlet Way and Crescent Way, Hadley Wood, page 364; Policy SA54, page 374; Policy SA62 page 372; and Policy SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279 – all of which propose the dedesignation of Green Belt for housing and other purposes. These sites are part of historic Enfield Chase, which is beautiful and unique in the southeast and played an important role in the development of Enfield. *It is a rare and valuable landscape asset and its loss would cause permanent harm not only to the Green Belt, but also to the very character of the borough.*

2. I also object to Policies SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279 because they transfer part of Whitewebbs Park, a public amenity, into private management. I reject the Council's analysis that Whitewebbs Golf Course was losing money and call for its reinstatement. *Whitewebbs golf course is a beautiful haven. During the pandemic, golf was one of the only sports that carried on through the winter when all the gyms and team sports were stopped.*

Why would you get rid of this- rather renovate this and make into something that turned over good revenue for Enfield .

3. I am also objecting to Policy SA52 page 372, which would remove part of Rammey Marsh, a wildlife area and public amenity, from the Green Belt. *This is one of the most beautiful places in Enfield.*

There are some 225 plant species are present on the site, including pyramidal orchid and a colony of over 5000 bee orchid believed to be the largest group of this type in South East England. Birds such as reed bunting, skylark, meadow pipit, linnet have been recorded and the marsh is also an important site for spring visitors. Grassland provides a good habitat for the grass snake. Mammals seen on the marsh include pipistrelle bats.

4. I am also objecting to the tall building policies on pages 156-160, Figure 7.3, Figure 7.4 and Policy DE6, and SA2 Palace Gardens Shopping Centre page 321 which propose areas for and the acceptable height of tall buildings which, in many cases would mar the landscape and are unnecessary because other lower-rise building forms could provide the same accommodation, as stated in the policy.