

Draft Local Plan - Site SA45 – Land between Camlet Way and  
Crescent West, Hadley Wood

Dear Sirs,

My name is Ourania Josif and I have resided in Hadley Wood for 28 years, which is my entire life. I love the area for a number of reasons but mainly its wide streets and expansive open spaces. I walk around the area frequently which includes Waggon road and Barnet Road. From there you can appreciate the beautiful views across the landscape across Hertsmere, Barnet and Enfield which culminates into this field. It is therefore not surprising that Herts County Council have sought to protect this valuable landscape within the Hornbeam Hills South Area of Special Character, which Enfield Council endorsed. I struggle to understand why and how the council have chosen to ignore this and remove the land from the green belt and now allocate it for development.

The meadow forms an important part of Hadley Wood segregating the Borough from London Borough of Barnet and helps to protect the unique character of

the area. The Council have declared a climate change emergency, but their action in seeking to promote development on a site that would be highly reliant on car traffic goes completely against this policy.

I therefore object to the proposed release of this meadow in Hadley Wood from the green belt and its draft allocation for housing development on the following grounds:

1. **Th  
e  
Loc  
al  
Pla  
n  
do  
es  
not  
pri  
ori  
tis  
e  
all  
the  
bro  
wn  
fiel  
d  
sit  
es  
in  
the  
Bor  
ou  
gh.  
Su  
rel  
y  
all  
the  
Co  
un  
cils**

ow  
n  
de  
vel  
op  
me  
nt  
lan  
d  
at  
Me  
ridi  
an  
Wa  
ter  
sho  
uld  
be  
incl  
ud  
ed  
in  
thi  
s  
20  
yea  
r  
pla  
n.  
Onl  
y  
on  
ce  
all  
the  
bro  
wn  
fiel  
d  
lan  
d  
has  
be  
en  
exh  
aus  
ted  
sho  
uld  
the  
co

un  
cil  
see  
k  
to  
rel  
eas  
e  
gre  
en  
bel  
t  
site  
s.  
Thi  
s is  
not  
the  
cas  
e  
as  
the  
Co  
un  
cil  
hav  
e  
ch  
ose  
n  
to  
bui  
ld  
on  
a  
Gr  
ee  
n  
Bel  
t  
site  
wit  
h  
po  
or  
loc  
al  
co  
nn  
ect  
ion

s  
an  
d a  
me  
niti  
es  
ins  
tea  
d.  
**2)**  
**Thi**  
**s**  
**all**  
**oca**  
**tio**  
**n**  
**des**  
**tro**  
**ys**  
**a**  
**val**  
**ue**  
**d**  
**an**  
**d**  
**val**  
**ua**  
**ble**  
**par**  
**t of**  
**the**  
**Gr**  
**ee**  
**n**  
**Bel**  
**t.** T  
he  
co  
un  
cils  
rec  
ent  
Ch  
ara  
cte  
risa  
tio  
n  
Stu  
dy  
ref

ers  
to  
the  
are  
a  
as  
“a  
spe  
cial  
are  
a  
of  
lan  
dsc  
ap  
e  
cha  
rac  
ter  
whi  
ch  
is a  
ma  
jor  
ass  
et  
for  
the  
bo  
rou  
gh.  
It  
sta  
tes  
“It  
is  
of  
bot  
h  
lan  
dsc  
ap  
e  
an  
d  
his  
tori  
c  
sig  
nifi  
can

ce”  
;  
an  
d  
“Th  
e  
exi  
sti  
ng  
Gr  
ee  
n  
Bel  
t b  
ou  
nd  
ary  
sho  
uld  
be  
ret  
ain  
ed  
an  
d  
pro  
tec  
ted  
,  
an  
d  
fut  
ure  
de  
vel  
op  
me  
nt  
an  
d  
lan  
d  
use  
cha  
ng  
es r  
esi  
ste  
d”.  
Thi  
s

has  
be  
en  
co  
mp  
let  
ely  
ign  
ore  
d.

**3)**  
**Th**  
**e**  
**me**  
**ad**  
**ow**  
**ma**  
**kes**  
**a**  
**sig**  
**nifi**  
**can**  
**t**  
**co**  
**ntr**  
**ibu**  
**tio**  
**n**  
**to**  
**the**  
**pur**  
**po**  
**ses**  
**an**  
**d**  
**op**  
**en**  
**nes**  
**s**  
**of**  
**the**  
**Gr**  
**ee**  
**n**  
**Bel**  
**t.** T  
he  
Ha  
dle  
y  
Wo



od  
Her  
ita  
ge  
an  
d  
Ch  
ara  
cte  
r  
Ass  
ess  
me  
nt  
not  
es  
tha  
t  
the  
site  
pro  
vid  
es  
“im  
por  
tan  
t vi  
ew  
s”  
an  
d  
the  
lan  
dsc  
ap  
e  
“ac  
ts  
as  
a  
buf  
fer  
sep  
ara  
tin  
g  
Ha  
dle  
y  
Wo  
od

from other urban areas". No account has been taken of the fact the site forms part of the Hornbeam Hills Area of Special Character.  
**4) This is simply not a sus**

**tai  
na  
ble  
loc  
ati  
on.**

This is not a sustainable site. It has poor public transport links with PTAL 1a/b. Despite the re-  
being a station close by, the next stops

either side of Hadley Wood are Potter's Bar (Herts mere) and New Barnet (Barnet). You cannot get to anywhere in the Enfield Borough from this station

n.  
Th  
e  
bus  
sto  
p  
out  
sid  
e  
the  
sta  
tio  
n  
onl  
y  
ser  
ves  
Hig  
h  
Bar  
net  
,  
whi  
ch  
aga  
in  
is  
out  
sid  
e  
the  
Bor  
ou  
gh.  
An  
y  
de  
vel  
op  
me  
nt  
sch  
em  
e  
on  
thi  
s  
lan  
d  
will  
be

wholly  
reliant  
on  
cars.  
There  
is  
no  
super  
market  
in  
close  
proximity,  
no  
GP,  
no  
secondary  
school  
,  
no  
post  
office,  
very  
limited  
local  
employment  
opportunities

s. All the facilities are only accessible by car, which goes against the Climate Change Emergency declared by the Council. **5) There are circa 2,000**

vac  
ant  
pro  
per  
tie  
s  
wit  
hin  
the  
the  
Bor  
ou  
gh,  
wh  
ich  
the  
Co  
un  
cil  
sh  
oul  
d  
pri  
ori  
tis  
e  
to  
get  
bac  
k  
int  
o  
use  
an  
d  
incl  
ud  
e  
wit  
hin  
the  
ir  
25,  
00  
0  
ho  
usi  
ng  
nu  
mb  
ers  
. T



his  
should  
be  
a  
very  
quick  
win  
and  
all  
2,000  
homes  
if  
brought  
back  
into  
use  
should  
be  
included  
within  
the  
first 5  
years  
of  
the  
housing  
supply  
figures.  
**6)**  
**Sit**

e is  
sur  
rou  
nd  
ed  
by  
2 x  
Co  
nse  
rva  
tio  
n  
Are  
as  
. T  
he  
site  
is  
bor  
der  
ed  
an  
d  
ov  
erl  
oo  
ke  
d  
by  
the  
Ha  
dle  
y  
Wo  
od  
Co  
nse  
rva  
tio  
n  
Are  
a,  
the  
Mo  
nk  
en  
Ha  
dle  
y  
Co  
nse

ration  
Areas  
as well  
as  
Grade  
II  
list  
ed  
buildings  
on  
Carmel  
Way.  
It  
would  
be  
impossible  
to  
build  
on  
the  
land  
without  
adversely  
impacting  
the  
setting,

character and appearance of the essential heritage assets

.

**7) The council have not outlined the necessary 'exceptional circumstances' why this spe**

cific Green Belt site should be released for the development for 16,000 homes out of the 25,000 homes they are seeing king up to 2039. These 16,000 homes

s  
co  
uld  
eas  
ily  
be  
bui  
lt  
fro  
m  
win  
d fa  
ll  
de  
vel  
op  
me  
nt  
in  
the  
are  
a  
as  
lar  
ge  
ho  
use  
s  
are  
bei  
ng  
red  
eve  
lop  
ed  
int  
o  
blo  
cks  
of  
6-9  
ap  
art  
me  
nts  
.

I therefore ask that you please remove this proposed site allocation from the next edition of the Local Plan and retain the green belt status.