Dear Council Employee,

I have serious concerns about the loss of Green Belt resulting from the implementation of the Local Plan. In particular, I strongly object to Policy SP PL10, pages 80-87: Chase Park and the building of 3,000 new houses between Oakwood and Enfield Town on a deeply green area. This verdant land with fields, meadows and woods should be left untouched as it represents the first encounter with the countryside for local residents. It has value to the local community.

3.10.9 In relation to the proposed Chase Park placemaking area:

1. Does the vision for Chase Park set out an appropriate vision for the future of this place? The answer is a resounding 'no'.

If not, what components do you think should be changed or are missing? The whole idea should be abandoned. Local infrastructures and services are presently at stretch in Oakwood: if this plan goes ahead, it will be highly detrimental to the standard of living of the local community. Not to mention a reduction in pervious surfaces to support natural drainage thus increasing the risk of flooding in the area. Also, this land reduces the urban heat island effect.

2. Will the proposed placemaking policy for Chase Park help to adequately deliver the aspirations set out in the vision? Again, the answer is No

If not, what proposed changes, omissions or additions are required in the policy to help deliver the vision?

The main function of the Green Belt is to stop urban sprawl: let us keep this haven untouched and not concrete over it. Concentrating new developments within a ten minute walking distance of a tube station would mean that everyone will suffer from a lower quality of life and impoverished environment (including those in the new homes). I appreciated that we need more houses in Enfield, therefore I believe that the Crews Hill area seems to be a more suitable venue: it has a low suburban density.

I also have very strong objections regarding the proposed increased heights of new buildings DE6: Tall Buildings (pages 156-159). The proposed heights, especially around existing stations, are unacceptable. Totally out of character with the area.

Thank you for reading this.

Kind regards,