Dear councillors and planners. In reference to the information circulating in reference to turning Sainsburys on Green Lanes N21 into a 5-6 story 300 home residential development I would like to **strongly object** and air my concerns. All on the basis of over population and already struggling infrastructure.

Your intentions to develop housing to secure the future seems not to include consideration for those already resident who will be angered and effectively forced or bullied out by the changes to quality of Enfield and life.

- Over population of the area
- Too many apartments given the recent and future developments along Green Lanes Travis Perkins, Capitol House etc
- No supporting infrastructure doctors, schools, transport etc
- An already super busy and struggling train transport with no fallback when there are issues
- Already congested roads and further pressure on parking
- Loss of green space an area for families and leisure which contributes to the health and wellbeing of all residents as well as mitigation against pollution
- Totally changing the character of the area
- Inappropriate choice of site given the close proximity of existing traditional historical properties. Redevelopment of sites on the A10 make more sense as there are few properties affected/blighted.
- Potential claims for reduction in property values
- If we lose Sainsbury's there is no other major supermarket in the area. This would affect
 elderly and disabled residents. This will force people to drive to supermarkets further
 away and have a negative impact on the environment and is against the council's policy of
 encouraging people to shop locally and walk and cycle
- Why not develop Morrisons in Palmers Green. That would not affect any adjacent properties

These are all well known issues so I'm dumbfounded why this site is even being considered.

Ref. https://new.enfield.gov.uk/services/planning/enfield-strategic-housing-land-availability-assessment-shlaa-2020-planning.pdf

Close to existing housing. Known cost factor dependencies. Viablility to be determined subject to W hole Plan Viability and landowner information. Demolition of existing uses on site. Redevelopment of site will be contingent on addressing needs of existing operational store. 0 299 0 0

Potentially achievable 4.2. Linear block - Perimeter block without podium 392 - - 136 - - 862 299 0 299 0 299 Case study: The Echoes. Height assumption is **5-6 storeys**Assumed 50% of floor area for residential and 50% for employment. Developable n/a P

With thanks for your attention,