

## My Observations

### Concerning The Local Plan (June 2021)

Well I think I ought to be congratulated for hunting down FREE copy, rather than being charged £82 for the privilege.

Page

65 Supermarket car parks targeted for development in Southgate: How does that assist shoppers?

75 Housing Development at Crews Hill

- \* Breaches protection of the Green Belt
- \* No indication of the acreage gained for recreation compared with the planned initial development
- \* very concerning that further development might follow
- \* No indication of transport improvements
  - \* where will a bus route or routes link with? To Curley, Enfield, Potters Bar? Some indication would have been helpful. As to an east-west rail link is that at all likely?
  - \* No indication of supporting amenities (schools, doctors, shops). This was a failing in the North Circular Action Plan and MUST be addressed if a community is to be established.

203 Housing

\* Appropriate Small Sites

I wish “appropriate” was defined. It bedevilled the North Circular Action Plan and it does here. Your appropriate can shift as you change priorities, but needs to coincide with the views of the local public

- \* Small site development is set out to include infill sites and backland development. How will consultation be organised before submitting a planning application. This was a favoured initiative of the London Mayor, but I thought it had died a death.

158

Figure 7.4

Tall buildings:

I note that designated areas include Palmers Green, Winchmore Hill, Arnos Grove, Bowes Park/Bounds Green and New Southgate. Identification of the sites would be helpful to advance debate with the public. Are these all car parks? And does this mean you are still trying to build at Arnos Grove station?