
I am writing to register my objection to the following policies as found in your document 'Enfield Local Plan: Main Issues and Preferred Approaches, June 2021'.

The specific policies I object to are as follows:

SP PL10 (pages 80-87, and Figure 3.11),
SP PL9 (pages 77-80 and Concept Plan Figure 3.10),
SA45 (Land Between Camlet Way and Crescent Way, Hadley Wood, page 364),
SA54 (page 374),
SA62 (page 383)
SP CL4 (pages 277-279)

As I understand it, these policies would result in the de-designation of our Green Belt land for housing and other purposes. They would lead to a massive, irreversible change to the very nature of our borough. One of my prime motivations for moving to Enfield was to enjoy its unique character – town and unspoilt countryside virtually side-by-side.

Enfield Chase has a rich and wonderful history. It would be a tragedy if such an important landscape for humans and animals alike were to be destroyed.

Additionally, I object to the tall building policies: pages 156-160, Figure 7.3, Figure 7.4 and Policy DE6, and SA2 Palace Gardens Shopping Centre page 321. These propose areas for tall buildings and their acceptable height. They are unnecessary (lower-rise building structures could certainly provide the same amount of accommodation) and they would definitely damage the areas' character and disfigure the surrounding landscape.