

---

I am writing to object to the following Policies: SP PL10, pages 80-87, and Figure 3.11; Policy SP PL9, pages 77-80 and Concept Plan Figure 3.10; Policy SA45: Land Between Camlet Way and Crescent Way, Hadley Wood, page 364; Policy SA54, page 374; and Policy SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279 – all of which propose the dedesignation of Green Belt for housing and other purposes. These sites are part of historic Enfield Chase, which is unique in the southeast and played an important role in the development of Enfield. It is a rare and valuable landscape asset and its loss would cause permanent harm not only to the Green Belt, but also to the very character of the borough.

I am well aware of the need for more housing, however I firmly believe that the Green Belt should be protected, and previously developed land (brownfield sites) should be used. There are many such sites in Enfield which need regeneration, and they already have roads, services, and transport links without the need for such additional development. Using brownfield sites would avoid urban sprawl and increased pollution levels. In his Question Time session on 24/06/2021 the Mayor of London, Sadiq Khan, was asked about the proposal to dedesignate areas of Enfield's Green Belt through the current local plan process, and he emphasized the importance of the Green Belt and his commitment to work with boroughs to preserve it.

Crews Hill, with its many nurseries and businesses, is a unique area of Enfield and designated as Green Belt. I have read that it has a greater concentration of nurseries than anywhere in Western Europe. My husband and I visit the area frequently, more so than local town centres which have little of use but the Post Office. This year at Crew Hill we have purchased plants and seeds, fertilisers, tools, wild bird food and

feeders, gifts, greetings cards, shoes, a garden fountain, paving stones for a new drive to our house, plus the annual Christmas tree and decorations. The area is a huge resource for the residents of Enfield and far beyond, and a significant economic asset for the Borough. It would be an immense loss to us, and of course to the many long established Crews Hill business owners, if it were sold off to developers for short term gain. Also, it is notable that Enfield Council's proposals do not fulfill Mr Sadiq Khan's intentions and vision for London. His view that Crews Hill is unsuitable for housing development, and would not provide the affordable homes that are a priority is expressed in an email from the Planning Department of GLA to Neeru Kareer, Enfield Planning Policy Team, dated 28/02/2019.

Finally, at a time when a major UN scientific report on climate change is "a code red for humanity" I am deeply disappointed that addressing this topic is last on the Council Leader's flier regarding the Enfield Draft Local Plan. It is addressed in a totally inadequate and misleading way, saying that the Plan "provides specific protection for our rich network of biodiversity, our ancient woodlands" and will "enhance access to green spaces". I see no evidence of this in the Enfield Draft Local Plan, on the contrary, it proposes an assault on the Green Belt which would be a dangerous precedent.