

---

Dear Sir / Madam,

I was disappointed to read about the planned redevelopment of much of Enfield's greenbelt.

I have lived in Enfield for over 40 years and while it has many struggles as borough, the areas of greenbelt land and its open spaces are a contributing factor to keep Enfield as a desirable place to live. That desirability is a opportunity to market and promote the borough, offering something that many other London boroughs simply cannot match.

To eradicate one of the few genuine assets we have, appears very short sighted and does not give enough consideration to the long term viability of the borough. Not least, the infrastructure that would be required to support such redevelopment is currently inadequate and increasing housing will only exacerbate that issue.

We have experienced the closing of Hospitals, overpopulation of schools and pathetic train links with no signs of improvement, adding more housing would appear to be the last thing that Enfield can afford.

As such I am writing to object to the following Policies:

SP PL10, pages 80-87, and Figure 3.11; Policy SP PL9, pages 77-80 and Concept Plan Figure 3.10; Policy SA45: Land Between Camlet Way and Crescent Way, Hadley Wood, page 364; Policy SA54, page 374; and Policy SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279 – all of which propose the dedesignation of Green Belt for housing and other purposes.

I also object to Policies SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279 because they transfer part of Whitewebbs Park, a public amenity, into private management.

I am also objecting to Policy SA52 page 372, which would remove part of Rammey Marsh, a wildlife area and public amenity, from the Green Belt.