

---

I am totally against use of Green Belt Land when there are brownfield sites across the borough that could provide the number of 2 and 3 bed homes to meet the needs of Enfield residents, especially those in housing need who require affordable homes, close to public transport and amenities. The Mayor of London has produced a report on Green Belt Land and has indicated it does not need to be built upon as there are enough brownfield sites for this, i.e. Meridian Water.

CPREs report Space to Breathe showed only a tenth of homes built in Green Belt are affordable and these are not truly homes for social rent. Green Belt land used for housing means more commuting and more cars which is not sustainable at the current time nor in the future.

The use of Tall Buildings i.e. high rise flats is not appropriate for the residents of them, nor for the surrounding area skyline. The use of such high rise blocks has been demonstrated to be detrimental to residents health and well being. High rise buildings use as land marks is hardly a supporting statement to support their use. Enfield's definition of tall buildings is not in line with the 'London Plan' definition, where anything over 21 metres i.e. 7 storeys high is considered a tall building. Buildings above 12 storeys are not family friendly.

Since Brexit a large number of European peoples have returned to their home countries, and the number of homes required as indicated in the draft Local Plan may not be required. It would make sense to wait for the Census results to be published to ascertain a truer picture of the number of residents in the Borough.

Building homes also requires infrastructure to match the number of homes and people - i.e. schools, hospitals, transport, sewage, water, and roads - the use of brownfield sites makes more sense where there is already an infrastructure to support this, albeit the infrastructure may need some strengthening.

In particular:  
Spatial strategy

Open Green belt:

Chase Park (Vicarage Farm next to Trent Park between Oakwood and Enfield Town  
Policy SP PL 10, pages 80-87 and Figure 3.11

Building homes on Green Belt land in this area will not be affordable housing. It is agricultural land and should be used for such a purpose in view of the current climate emergency and the need for local food production.

Crews Hill with potential for longer term expansion - I do not agree this is required. Agriculture and Locally grown food production must take precedent due to shortages and climate change issues. Local jobs in Nurseries must be sustained, car commutes must be limited.

Policy SP PL 9, pages 77-80 and Concept Plan Figure 3.10

11 hectares of new industrial storage and distribution use. Agricultural land east of junction 24 of M25 at part of new Cottages and Holly Hill Farm within Enfield Chase  
Policy SA54 page 374

Productive farm land must not be lost, locally grown produce and food must take

precedent.

Upper Edmonton green belt must be kept for use of Edmonton residents well being and use, CPRE proposed a new Park for residents use which would help residents health and wellbeing.

Expansion of Spurs football training ground in North Whitewebbs Lane up to M25 for recreational sport community sport/leisure. Pages 277-279. I am against this as it is Green Belt and far away from public transport. Again climate change and Use of vehicles to travel there must be limited.

Tall Buildings:

Policy DM DE 6 Fig 7.3

The Enfield draft Local Plan definition of tall buildings is not in line with that of the London Plan as above. They are not appropriate in Town Centre Market Town locations. Nor are they appropriate for residents. Experience shows elevators fail and result in residents being unable to vacate quickly, especially in dangerous situations. Other forms of building not requiring excessive height give improved amenity to the residents and cost less to maintain.

Enfield Town Conservation Area

Enfield Town has a strong heritage and high rise blocks would overwhelm the surrounding heritage buildings, changing the Town from market town to a conurbation of high rise flats, thus totally altering the market town heritage (pages 156-60, Fig 7.3 Fig 7.4 Policy DE6 and SA2 Palace Gardens Shopping Centre Page 321).