

---

To whom it may concern

Please note that I strongly object to the proposed building of new houses in respect of the following and below.

Reference SPPL9 – Pages 77-80 & Concept plan figure 3.10 – Crews Hill. Enfield.

Objections

1. Demolition of existing businesses will result in depriving people of a living and where will they transfer their businesses to.? The pandemic has shown that so many businesses did not survive or found it very difficult – Why is it necessary then to ruin more businesses and the lives of people working at Crews Hill.

2. This is designated Horticultural/Green Belt land and I thought Enfield Council were “green people” when they were voted back in for this current term – isn’t that what they said?

Part of Enfield’s attraction is that there is a level of green belt land for people living there to enjoy – people have moved to Enfield because of this. If you allow mass building of houses there will be no green areas left.

3. The wildlife which we are so privileged to have will be in danger of losing their natural habitat. Are we not supposed to be protecting our wildlife – not destroying it.

4. On the Crews Hill site alone - 3000 new homes would generate approximately 3 people per home = 9000 extra people for Enfield. Each home would generate 1 – 2 vehicles – so on average 4000 – 5000 vehicles.

There is little or no transport link to nearest shops so people are reliant on vehicles and you have to hope they all have electric vehicles or they will be contributing to unwelcome vehicle emissions.

Logistically there is little to support it. No doctors, no shops, etc. no schools that are near.

At present the schools and doctors surgeries cannot cope with the current population let alone more.

5. Apparently within the recent consensus Enfield’s population has not increased to the extent that warrants the volume of house building that Enfield Council intends to grant. So who are these houses for?

People need open spaces and countryside for their mental well-being Enfield Council will be depriving people of this?

To summarise building these homes will effectively mean:

Deprivation of businesses (affecting the current community).

Loss of jobs and income

Loss of habitat for wildlife

Logistically unsupported

Affecting mental well being

I also strongly object to Policy SP PL10 pages 80-87 & figure 3.11

Policy SA45 – Land between Camlet Way & Crescent Way – Hadley Wood page 364

Policy SA54 Page 374

No green belt left!!

Furthermore I also object to policies SA62 page 383 & SP CL4 pages 277-279 due to the transference of part of Whitewebbs Park into private management.

Enfield is unique at present – nestled between two counties, an amazing heritage (originally a shire town) with a wealth of history and open space so badly needed.

Even Sadiq Khan is against building on Green belt land. When he returned for his second term he stated he wanted to be the “greenest mayor London had ever had”.

Enfield Council is selling us out – between them and Spurs we will have no green space left. In fact Mr. Levy would like to take over the whole of Whitewebbs Lane. He has absolutely no regard for the countryside in that area as long as he can build a new academy/sports ground. Obviously one is not enough but then he does not live there so why should he feel concerned.

I would remind both Enfield Council and Mr. Levy of “our green and pleasant land” and reconsider. Do they really want to be responsible for taking all this away?