

---

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am writing to object to aspects of the Enfield Local Plan, in particular, the plans to build on the green belt. The aim of the green belt policy is to prevent urban sprawl by keeping land permanently open. It has worked well and it shows very poor judgment to change that. As well as the environmental impact and the hugely negative effect on wildlife, we'll end up with endless urban sprawl, insufficient infrastructure and traffic jams.

Specifically I am objecting to plans in the 'Spatial strategy' (section 2.4) including:

3,000 new houses at a 'deeply green' 'sustainable urban extension' referred to as 'Chase Park' (also known as Vicarage Farm) on the open Green Belt countryside next to Trent Park either side of the A110 (Enfield Road) between Oakwood and Enfield town (Policy SP PL 10, pages 80-87, and Figure 3.11).

3,000 new houses in a 'sustainable settlement' at Crews Hill with the potential for longer term expansion up to 7,500 new homes right up to the M25. (Policy SP PL9, pages 77-80 and Concept Plan Figure 3.10);

160 homes in Green Belt countryside at Hadley Wood (SA45: Land Between Camlet Way and Crescent Way, Hadley Wood, page 364).

Industrial and office development in the Green Belt near Rammey Marsh (SA52 page 372).

A big expansion of the Spurs football training ground to the north of Whitewebbs Lane up to the M25, comprising of 42.5 hectares of land, for "professional sport, recreation and community sports/leisure uses" (SA62 page 383 & SP CL4 pages 277-279).

Encouragement for tall buildings, including in sensitive locations such as the town centre conservation area (see pages 156-60, Figure 7.3, Figure 7.4 and Policy DE6, and SA2 Palace Gardens Shopping centre page 321).