- > We are writing to object to the following policies: SP PL10, pages 80-87, and figure 3.11; Policy SP PL9, pages 77-80 and Concept Plan Figure 3.10; Policy SA45: Land between Camlet Way and Crescent Way, Hadley Wood, page 364; Policy SA54, page 374; Policy SA52 page 372; and Policy SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279 all of which propose the de-designation of Green Belt for housing and other purposes. >>>
- > This proposal to build 3000 plus houses on the Vicarage Farm Land goes against the fundamental aim of the Green Belt Policy which is to prevent urban sprawl. The development will increase traffic flow, therefore causing congestion and pollution which is detrimental to public health. It will be a disaster for the wildlife, they will lose their habitat. The Merryhills Way footpath would be destroyed by this proposed development. This footpath is used not just by the people in Enfield but also used by many outside the borough. Historic Enfield Chase is unique.
- > The garden centres of Crews Hill must not be built on. They are used by numerous people from Enfield and beyond. They provide employment for many people. Christmas at Crews Hill is enjoyed by many young families and their children.
- > It's horticulture activities should be expanded for plant production and food.
- > Our Green Belt is sacred and must be protected and preserved for future generations.

>>

> There must be alternatives to support Enfield's housing development without losing our valuable Green Belt. It is precious, a rare and valuable landscape asset. In accordance with the London Plan and the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), any intention to release any part of it should be taken out of the local plan. The council has a duty of care for the Green Belt.