

---

Dear Enfield Council,

I am writing to oppose the Draft Local Plan as I believe it will have a detrimental effect on the environment. I believe we need to preserve nature and wildlife. I want to continue living in a green and beautiful borough.

Please note and record my objections to the Draft Local Plan. I strongly disagree with the proposed items and object to them, as listed below.

1. I am writing to object to the following Policies: SP PL10, pages 80-87, and Figure 3.11; Policy SP PL9, pages 77-80 and Concept Plan Figure 3.10; Policy SA45: Land Between Camlet Way and Crescent Way, Hadley Wood, page 364; Policy SA54, page 374; Policy SA62 page 372; and Policy SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279 – all of which propose the dedesignation of Green Belt for housing and other purposes. These sites are part of historic Enfield Chase, which is unique in the South East and played an important role in the development of Enfield. It is a rare and valuable landscape asset and its loss would cause permanent harm not only to the Green Belt, but also to the very character of the borough. This area gives vital breathing space and better air quality.

2. I also object to Policies SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279 because they transfer part of Whitewebbs Park, a public amenity, into private management. I do not want to see change or destruction to this beautiful area; essential for people and wildlife.

3. I am also objecting to Policy SA52 page 372, which would remove part of Rammey Marsh, a wildlife area and public amenity, from the Green Belt. This historical Marsh needs to be protected to maintain wildlife and air quality.

4. I am also objecting to the tall building policies on pages 156-160, Figure 7.3, Figure 7.4 and Policy DE6, and SA2 Palace Gardens Shopping Centre page 321 which propose areas for and the acceptable height of tall buildings which, in many cases would spoil the landscape and be out of character with the area.

Yours sincerely,

