

Dear Enfield Council

We are writing to OBJECT to the Enfield Draft Local Plan, for the following reasons.

1. We object to the following Policies: SP PL10, pages 80-87, and Figure 3.11; Policy SP PL9, pages 77-80 and Concept Plan Figure 3.10; Policy SA45: Land Between Camlet Way and Crescent Way, Hadley Wood, page 364; Policy SA54, page 374; and Policy SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279.

- All these policies propose the re-designation of Green Belt for housing and other purposes. These sites are part of the historic Enfield Chase, which is unique in the southeast, and played an important role in the development of Enfield. It is a rare and valuable landscape asset and its loss would cause permanent harm not only to the Green Belt, but also to the very character of the borough.
- Furthermore, the loss of these green areas, including many trees, runs counter to the battle against climate change, which requires the preservation and enhancement of existing green areas. This loss is inconsistent with Enfield Council's Climate Action Plan 2020, which includes tree and woodland planting.

2. We are also objecting to Policy SA52 page 372, which would remove part of Rammev Marsh, a wildlife area and public amenity, from the Green Belt.

- This proposed removal is inconsistent with the battle against climate change, and also the need to enhance biodiversity.

In addition, we have the following criticisms of the Enfield Draft Local Plan.

3, The plan has not made sufficient use of brownfield sites, which would negate the need to destroy parts of the green belt. The Council should examine every brownfield site thoroughly, and set out clearly how it could be used for housing, or why it could not be used.

4. There is a lack of 'joined-up thinking' surrounding the Plan' For example there appears to be no proper consideration of the implications of the Plan for Enfield Council's Climate Action Plan.

We also wonder how the Council views its reputation and legacy to future generations. Destroying large parts of the Green Belt, when action against significant

climate change is required, will be a poor legacy.