

---

Dear Sirs

I am very concerned at the amount of greenbelt land you wish to use for housing in this plan. Public events should be held to properly explain the reasons for the decision to use the greenbelt. The plan is not easy to read and the maps are poor. This seems to be a deliberate strategy to avoid proper debate.

I object to the following parts of the draft plan.

- The 'Spatial strategy' ([section 2.4](#)) which identifies how growth will be distributed across the Borough over the plan period and gives rise to the strategies for housing, employment, town centres and countryside green belt;
- 3,000 new houses at a 'deeply green' 'sustainable urban extension' referred to as 'Chase Park' (also known as Vicarage Farm) on the open Green Belt countryside next to Trent Park either side of the A110 (Enfield Road) between Oakwood and Enfield town ([Policy SP PL 10, pages 80-87, and Figure 3.11](#)); This seems too dense, and the public footpath which is well used needs to be protected.
- 3,000 new houses in a 'sustainable settlement' at Crews Hill with the potential for longer term expansion up to 7,500 new homes right up to the M25. ([Policy SP PL9, pages 77-80 and Concept Plan Figure 3.10](#)); Again this seems too dense, and public transport is an issue, as well as doctors, schools etc.
- 160 homes in Green Belt countryside at Hadley Wood ([SA45: Land Between Camlet Way and Crescent Way, Hadley Wood, page 364](#));
- Industrial and office development in the Green Belt near Rammey Marsh ([SA52 page 372](#)); We already have many office buildings standing empty, do we really need more?
- 11 hectares of new industrial and storage and distribution use at what is currently agricultural land east of Junction 24 of the M25 at part of new Cottages and Holly Hill Farm within Enfield Chase ([SA54, page 374](#));
- a big expansion of the Spurs football training ground to the north of Whitewebbs Lane up to the M25, comprising of 42.5 hectares of land, for "professional sport, recreation and community sports/leisure uses" ([SA62 page 383 & SP CL4 pages 277-279](#));
- Encouragement for tall buildings, including in sensitive locations such as the town centre conservation area ([see pages 156-60, Figure 7.3, Figure 7.4 and Policy DE6, and SA2 Palace Gardens Shopping centre page 321](#)). The proposed maximum building heights across the Borough. In my view the proposed high rise developments are too high.
- 
-