

---

Dear Sir / Madam

We are disturbed to read of your plans to cover large areas of the local green belt with housing. With the recent pandemic rendering much office and to a lesser extent industrial space surplus to requirements to squander our green belt without first using up all brownfield sites is not logical.

We moved to Oakwood in 2006 from West London in order to get better air quality, more housing means more pollution, will the ULEZ zone be extended to the M25 because of such ill thought out schemes increasing pollution levels?

Once lost the green belt will not be reclaimed. The logic of developing the Oakwood side of the Enfield road is clear, with a discernable gap between existing housing. The development of the Trent Park side is a ridiculous idea and will no longer present a barrier to creeping urbanisation.

Perhaps you wish to cram as much as you can in using the M25 as a barrier, I see you say "Small sites on the edge of the urban area near Hadley Wood, Forty Hall and Junction 25 of the M25 will provide for additional housing and employment development". Disturbing, I imagine once established they will spread like a virus, how do you envisage people getting there?

The idea of up to 7,500 homes at Crews Hill is a crazy idea, where's the infrastructure to move all these people one train station is not going to help the thousands of extra road vehicles get in and out of this new estate.

You say "A new logistics hub close to Junction 24 of the M25 will provide for a significant amount of the Borough's employment needs in the plan period." There aren't even enough HGV drivers to supply the site, how will a handful of warehouses "provide for a significant amount of the Borough's employment needs". Again this makes no sense, just a platitude to justify ill thought out policies.

Finally when even the Mayor of London and local MP don't support this scheme where is your mandate?

