

---

Good afternoon,

I am writing to respond to the consultation on the draft local plan. I would like, in particular, to object to the following policies:

- SP PL10, pages 80-87, and Figure 3.11 - development in Enfield Chase;
- Policy SP PL9, pages 77-80 and Concept Plan Figure 3.10 - development in Crews Hill;
- Policy SA45: Land Between Camlet Way and Crescent Way, Hadley Wood, page 364; - Policy SA54, page 374; and Policy SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279

All of the above policies plan to use Green Belt within our borough for housing and other purposes. These sites are part of historic Enfield Chase, a unique area and very important to the residents in Enfield. Its loss would cause permanent harm not only to the Green Belt, but would also deprive our residents of open space that is so important to everyone for their mental health. It would change the borough, that we are so proud of for its greenery, for ever.

The proposal for building between 3-5000 homes on the Vicarage Farm (Chase Park) land would be a disaster for the borough and goes very much against the ideal of Enfield being 'London's greenest borough'.

This land has been a place that so many residents have used over the years and the last year in particular has shown how many people have used it to maintain or improve their wellbeing. It contains public rights of way through the countryside, and that is what it needs to remain, countryside, not a housing development that takes the green belt away from our borough forever.

Quite aside from this, there is not the infrastructure in the local area to support the extra traffic, people and subsequent pollution. We already had a schools shortage, we would need more medical services and roads to accommodate the increase in people in this area. The same would be the case for the crews hill plans.

Queues are already common, trains pre-lockdown were full to bursting and the area just cannot accommodate this extra drain on our resources.

There are definite environmental concerns also, even if part of the land is protected, there will be immeasurable damage to wildlife and fauna. While more trees are being planted, this will not replace what we all will lose.

Please do consider what is best for the borough. Large developments will cause more issues than improvements and will not 'even up' the borough as

stated in the paper and plans. Smaller developments that can fit into the current infrastructure but that meet the numbers required would be a better option.

Please could you add these comments to the review of consultation responses and confirm back to me that this has been done.