

---

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am resident in Highlands, and I would like to raise my objection to some of the sections in the draft plan (see below) after reading it carefully in detail; I am hoping, my objection makes sense and is found worth taking into account;

1. In particular, my objection involves to the following issues; SP PL10, pages 80-87, and Figure 3.11; Policy SP PL9, pages 77-80 and Concept Plan Figure 3.10; Policy SA45: Land Between Camlet Way and Crescent Way, Hadley Wood, page 364; Policy SA54, page 374; and Policy SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279, which all propose the dedesignation of Green Belt for housing and other purposes. As far as I am aware, these sites are part of historic Enfield Chase, that is unique in its nature and played a crucial role in the development of Enfield. Most of the people/residents me included consider it is a rare and valuable landscape asset, and its loss would cause permanent harm not only to the Green Belt, but also to the very character of the borough.
2. I also raise my objection to the tall building policies on pages 156-160, Figure 7.3, Figure 7.4 and Policy DE6, and SA2 Palace Gardens Shopping Centre page 321. Obviously, the plan if capitalised would upset the landscape and seem quite unnecessary since other lower-rise building forms could provide the same accommodation, as stated in the policy

Overall, like any reasonable Enfield resident, I would like to raise my strong objection to the loss of any part of Green Belt in favour of buildings, no matter what the purpose is; Please note that once the green is gone, it is gone forever!