I am writing to REJECT the loss of Green Belt land in Enfield in favour of building supposed affordable homes.

I specifically object to the following Policies:

- 1. SP PL10, pages 80-87, and Figure 3.11; Policy SP PL9, pages 77-80 and Concept Plan Figure 3.10; Policy SA45: Land Between Camlet Way and Crescent Way, Hadley Wood, page 364; Policy SA54, page 374; and Policy SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279. All of these mean the loss of Green Belt for housing and other purposes. This loss will greatly impact the very character of the borough. Brown field sites in the borough should be used and the Green Belt protected.
- 2. I also object to Policies SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279 because they transfer part of Whitewebbs Park, a public amenity, into private management. I reject also the Council's analysis that Whitewebbs Golf Course was losing money and call for its reinstatement. As someone who played golf at Whitewebbs as a season ticket holder for over 10 years and who regularly walks in the park I think this is a great loss.
- 3. I am also objecting to Policy SA52 page 372, which would remove part of Rammey Marsh, a wildlife area and public amenity, from the Green Belt.
- 4. I am also objecting to the tall building policies on pages 156-160, Figure 7.3, Figure 7.4 and Policy DE6, and SA2 Palace Gardens Shopping Centre page 321 which propose areas for and the acceptable height of tall buildings which, in many cases would mar the landscape and are unnecessary because other lower-rise building forms could provide the same accommodation, as stated in the policy.