

---

Dear Sir/Madam,

The purpose of this email is to express my objection to a number of proposed plans that in my opinion will adversely affect the Green Belt in the Borough and more generally the quality of life residents are currently enjoying.

First, I object plans affecting the historic part of Enfield Chase. These will adversely change the character of our lovely city. Specific policies include:

1. SP PL10, pages 80-87, and Figure 3.11
2. Policy SP PL9, pages 77-80 and Concept Plan Figure 3.10
3. Policy SA45: Land Between Camlet Way and Crescent Way, Hadley Wood, page 364
4. Policy SA54, page 374
5. Policy SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279.

Second, I am concerned about turning Whitewebbs Park into privately-run management. I do not agree with your analysis that the Golf Course is a loss-making entity. Specifically, I object Policies SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279.

Third, it is beyond me why in a time of climate change and knowing the negative effects of reducing wildlife areas on the environment, you wish to remove part of Rammey Marsh. For this reason, I strongly object Policy SA52 page 372.

Fourth, the Borough is largely characterised by low rising building, and where there are tall building they typically are an eyesore, they add to pressure on infrastructure and contribute to increased CO2 and noise pollutions. With this in mind, I call on you to discard any plans that involve tall building and in particular I object the tall building policies on pages 156-160, Figure 7.3, Figure 7.4 and Policy DE6, and SA2 Palace Gardens Shopping Centre page 321.