
Good morning

I object to the loss of the Green Belt - affordable homes should be built where they will bring regeneration to deprived areas. The Council should be aiming to **Preserve the Green.**

Regenerate the Grey!

The Local Plan includes twenty eight Green Belt sites with the potential for up to 30,000 homes, to be built between now and 2039 when the plan ends. The report issued by The Campaign for Rural England (CPRE), the Enfield Society and Enfield RoadWatch, **Space to Build** - shows how existing brown-field and under-used sites in the Borough could be used for substantial housing volumes (up to 37,000 homes).

I also object to the 'conversion' of the recreation fields at Firs Farm and to the east of the A10 (south of Church Street) for crematorium use. People have worked very hard to make this an amazing community and wildlife space. How can the Council possibly be thinking of selling this off?

The loss of areas of Whitewebbs for the expansion of the Spurs football training ground to the north of Whitewebbs Lane up to the M25, means less green space for residents and the closure of the affordable golf course deprives those less able to afford golf club fees. ([SA62 page 383 & SP CL4 pages 277–279](#)).

I am also objecting to the tall building policies on pages 156-160, Figure 7.3, Figure 7.4 and Policy DE6, and SA2 Palace Gardens Shopping Centre page 321 which propose areas for and the acceptable height of tall buildings which, in many cases would mar the landscape and are unnecessary because other lower-rise building forms could provide the same accommodation, as stated in the policy.

Please confirm that you have received and noted my objections.