- 1. I am writing to object to the following Policies: SP PL10, pages 80-87, and Figure 3.11; Policy SP PL9, pages 77-80 and Concept Plan Figure 3.10; Policy SA45: Land Between Camlet Way and Crescent Way, Hadley Wood, page 364; Policy SA54, page 374; and Policy SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279 all of which propose the dedesignation of Green Belt for housing and other purposes. These sites are part of historic Enfield Chase, which is unique in the southeast and played an important role in the development of Enfield.
- 2. I also object to Policies SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279 because they transfer part of the Public Open Space of Whitewebbs Park, into private leasehold ownership. During and since the pandemic, there has been an increased demand for leisure activities and the the Council's convenient analysis that the Whitewebbs Golf Course was not financially viable is ill-founded
- 3. I am also objecting to Policy SA52 page 372, which would remove part of Rammey Marsh, a wildlife area and another public amenity, from the Green Belt.
- 4. I consider the tall building policies on pages 156-160, Figure 7.3, Figure 7.4 and Policy DE6, and SA2 Palace Gardens Shopping Centre page 321 to be completely inappropriate for an historic Town Centre and adjacent Conservation Areas. The proposals are not driven by need, but by greed. Lower-rise buildings could provide the same accommodation, whilst not being out of keeping with the surrounding low rise developments.