

---

To whom it may concern

I am writing to object to the following Policies:

SP PL10, pages 80-87, and Figure 3.11;

SP PL9, pages 77-80 and Concept Plan Figure 3.10;

SA45: Land Between Camlet Way and Crescent Way, Hadley Wood, page 364;

SA54, page 374;

SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279

I have been a resident of the London Borough of Enfield all my life , with one of the main reasons why I have remained living and working in the borough is due to the amount of green belt land which surrounds the borough. Over the years, and bit by bit, the land has gradually reduced and now there are plans for huge developments which would continue to reduce beyond anything proposed previously.

Having worked in local schools I have seen the impact on education when new homes are built little consideration is given to the impact on schools/ roads/ residents which would be affected.

I fear that the building of these homes will reduce Enfield to a community that simply cannot cope with the huge numbers of people/cars/school places/hospital & GP services.

All of these sites are part of historic Enfield Chase, which is unique in the southeast and played an important role in the development of Enfield. It is a rare and valuable landscape asset and its loss would cause permanent harm not only to the Green Belt, but also to the very character of the borough

I am also objecting to the tall building policies on pages 156-160, Figure 7.3, Figure 7.4 and Policy DE6, and SA2 Palace Gardens Shopping Centre page 321 which propose areas for and the acceptable height of tall buildings which, in many cases would mar the landscape and are unnecessary because other lower-rise building forms could provide the same accommodation, as stated in the policy.