

---

Dear Sirs,

I am writing to register my strongest objection to the proposals to build on parts of Enfield's Green Belt as set out under the following Policy references and Plan numbers:

Policy SP PL9, pages 77-80 and Concept Plan Figure 3.10

Policy SP PL 10, pages 80-87, and Figure 3.11

SA45, page 364

SA52, page 372

SA54, page 374

SA62 page 383 & SP CL4 pages 277-279

Many of these areas form an integral part of the remaining area of Enfield Chase and were acquired by Middlesex County Council in the 1930's with the explicit intention to protect it from development and urban sprawl, not only for Enfield's residents, but for London in general.

Enfield Chase is regarded by acclaimed experts as being of national importance and it is Enfield's responsibility as the current custodians to protect it from development and improve its environment, not to destroy its character through a short-term housing gain.

As has been separately reported to Enfield Council, within Enfield there are large areas brownfield sites which to date, as in the example of Meridian Water, Enfield Council seem slow or unable to progress in developing.

I also object to the encouragement for tall buildings contained within Policy DE6 (pages 156-160) and SA2 Palace Gardens Shopping Centre page 321, despite the latter being a Conservation Area.