

---

Am totally opposed to Skyscrapers, children will not have ideal outside space, skyscrapers without parking how does that work? New homes in general without parking.

Due to Metaswitchs nes building we gave already lost some parking spaces.

We have a conservation area in our town, to have skyscrapers does not represent an inviting look doesn't join up the two. Polls apart. There must be 100s of people, maybe more who dont know about Gentleman's Row, how lovely our area is, or could be.

The bus station for instance has been reinstated after so many years which is great.

Enfield is supposed to be a lovely leafy town thats why people are attracted to the area and move in, what is proposed will take that all away. As one resident described it 'downtown L.A. ' We want visitors, not only shoppers, that look would not entice myself to come and visit/shop. My description would be a CONCRETE JUNGLE!!

Getting rid of an existing school long term will not address the future of our children who will not have a school space so will have to travel further, so how do you address the issue of traffic, air pollution? Cycle lanes, complete waste of money, how many people are using them? You will never stop people using their cars totally, that is the society we live in. How is it that the traffic in general in the town is horrendous. I know because I actually live in the Town. People are working more from home so how is it we still encounter huge amounts of cars?

Developers are not interested in building affordable housing, why when a development is built only a small proportion is allocated to people who are on low incomes?

I understand that we have more than enough brownfield sites to build on, build on those sites.

Why has Meridian site not been started/finished? Tax payers money wasted. Actual time wasted. People could be in lovely homes by now!!

No to skyscrapers, no to building on greenbelt no matter how small a percentage.

You state that the population will grown by 50 000 by 2039, 18 years away, not very long time frame, infrastructure, school places, traffic, Enfield will be over populated. Other councils have moved residents further afield which I understand splits families but should not move them too far, but why is Enfield not doing the same.

Skyscrapers belong in London not a place like Enfield. Totally understand that we need houses to be built but skyscrapers are NOT the answer. Houses yes and must be affordable.