I am writing to object to the following plans and policies which you wish action on Enfield's precious green belt:

- 1. I object to the flowing policies: SP PL10 (pages 80-87), Figure 3.11; Policy SP PL9 (pages 77-80, Concept Plan Figure 3.10; Policy SA45: The land between Camlet Way and Crescent Way, Hadley Wood, page 364; Policy SA54, page 374; I also object to Policy SA62 on page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279 all of these policies are an attack on Enfield's Green Belt. These areas are historic and are precious to Enfield. Proceeding with these policies would cause irreversible damage to our Green Belt, but also to the very character of the borough of Enfield.
- 2. I also object to Policies SA62 and SP CL4; Whitewebbs Park should remain a public amenity and should fall under private management. I reject the Council's analysis that Whitewebbs Golf Course was losing money and call for its reinstatement.
- 3. I am also objecting to Policy SA52 page 372, which would remove part of Rammey Marsh, a wildlife area and public amenity, from the Green Belt.