I am writing to object to the following Policies: SP PL10, pages 80-87, and Figure

3.11; Policy SP PL9, pages 77-80 and Concept Plan Figure 3.10; Policy SA45: Land

Between Camlet Way and Crescent Way, Hadley Wood, page 364; Policy SA54, page

374; and Policy SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279 – all of which propose the

dedesignation of Green Belt for housing and other purposes. These sites are part of

historic Enfield Chase, which is unique in the southeast and played an important role

in the development of Enfield. It is a rare and valuable landscape asset and its loss

would cause permanent harm not only to the Green Belt, but also to the very character

of the borough.

2. I also object to Policies SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279 because they

transfer part of Whitewebbs Park, a public amenity, into private management. I reject

the Council's analysis that Whitewebbs Golf Course was losing money and call for its

reinstatement.

3. I am also objecting to Policy SA52 page 372, which would remove part of Rammey

Marsh, a wildlife area and public amenity, from the Green Belt.

4. I am also objecting to the tall building policies on pages 156-160, Figure 7.3, Figure

7.4 and Policy DE6, and SA2 Palace Gardens Shopping Centre page 321 which

propose areas for and the acceptable height of tall buildings which, in many cases

would mar the landscape and are unnecessary because other lower-rise building forms

could provide the same accommodation, as stated in the policy.