Please find below my objections and observations to the Enfield Draft Local Plan:

# Policy SP PL 9 Pages 75-80 (Sheets 78-83) and Concept Plan Figure 3.10 Crews Hill Development.

Crews Hill is already a busy area with numerous heavy delivery trucks coming and going every day of both the week and weekends. The area is also Green Belt so no additional residential building should be even contemplated. The roads are already overloaded due to heavy lorry traffic. Residents of any new housing developments will have to rely on use of their cars for shopping, taking / collecting children from schools, sporting or extra curricular activities. Roads will reach saturation point rapidly.

**Policy SP PL Pages 81-87 (Sheets 84-90) and Figure 3.11 Chase Park / Vicarage Farm.** This is both Green Belt and Farming Land and should be left as farmland - we need every acre of farmland for food production, particularly for locally produced food.

The population of London has fallen by 700,000 in the last year or so. That's an average of over 20,000 per borough. Why do we need so many more houses?

### SA45 Page 364 (Sheet 372) Camlet Way and Crescent Way, Hadley Wood.

Again - Green Belt - must not be touched or development contemplated.

### SA52 Page 372 (Sheet 380) Land West of Rammey Marsh.

Again - Green Belt - must not be touched or development contemplated.

#### SA54 Page 374 (Sheet 382) Land East of M25 Junction 24.

Partly Green Belt - must not be touched or development contemplated. A previous Council many years ago took over ownership of some farms in the borough to protect them from development. This must be maintained.

# SA62 Page 383 (Sheet 391) Tottenham Hotspur Ground in Whitewebbs Lane. and SP CL4 Pages 277-279 (Sheets 281-283).

No further expansion of this ground should be countenanced. There is a scheme to 'extend' the training ground to a site west of the existing one but this should not be permitted on any grounds. The site is part of a redundant golf course which has now grown into beautiful parkland and should be left as it is.

## Policy DM DE6 Tall Buildings Pages 156-160 (Sheets 160-164) and Figure 7.3 and Figure 7.4.

Under no circumstances should buildings in Enfield Town Centre and Enfield Town Conservation Area be more than 3 storeys high.

### Policy SP H1 Pages 183-185 Section 8.1 (Sheets 187-189).

Enfield Council seem to believe that nearly 25,000 homes are needed in the next 20 years. This can only be guesswork as London's population has dropped by 700,000 people during the last 12-15 months - that equates to nearly 22,000 people per Borough. Where is the evidence for an additional 25,000 homes that the Council maintain are needed?

This submission has been prepared by me following examination of all 413 pages of the Enfield Local Plan. I do not pretend that I have covered everything - indeed the Plan does not mention everything - but I have also prepared some general, but I consider important, comments which The London Borough of Enfield should examine and respond and will be sent on a separate message via my Ward Councillors.