I am writing to object to the following Policies: SP PL10, pages 80-87, and Figure 3.11; Policy SP PL9, pages 77-80 and Concept Plan Figure 3.10; Policy SA45: Land Between Camlet Way and Crescent Way, Hadley Wood, page 364; Policy SA54, page 374; and Policy SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279 – all of which propose the dedesignation of Green Belt for housing and other purposes.

These sites are part of historic Enfield Chase, which is unique in the southeast and played an important role in the development of Enfield. It is a rare and valuable landscape asset and its loss would cause permanent harm not only to the Green Belt, but also to the very character of the borough.

I also object to Policies SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279 because they transfer part of Whitewebbs Park, a public amenity, into private management. I reject the Council's analysis that Whitewebbs Golf Course was losing money and call for its reinstatement.

I am also objecting to Policy SA52 page 372, which would remove part of Rammey Marsh, a wildlife area and public amenity, from the Green Belt.

I am also objecting to the tall building policies on pages 156-160, Figure 7.3, Figure 7.4 and Policy DE6, and SA2 Palace Gardens Shopping Centre page 321 which propose areas for and the acceptable height of tall buildings which, in many cases would mar the landscape and are unnecessary because other lower-rise building forms could provide the same accommodation, as stated in the policy.

I am also objecting to the turning of part of Firs Farm Wetlands into a cemetery.

Enfield's Green Belt provides an important function to its residents and visitors. It is the lungs of Enfield and must be saved. I therefore STRONGLY OPPOSE THIS PLAN.

I & the residents of Enfield use & enjoy Enfield's Green Belt, it has been invaluable during the time of this pandemic, giving people access to space & nature. Enfield Council must see the effects of climate change happening all around us and the loss of the Green Belt will add to this. There will be a serious detrimental effect to wildlife and nature if it is lost.

I strongly believe that Enfield Council can find the space to build the affordable homes it might need using brownfield sites within the borough, without the loss of the Green Belt. I also do not believe that homes that might be built on the Green Belt will NOT end up being affordable to a person on an average wage, they will end up being executive homes for the rich at the loss of a most prized areas for all the people of Enfield.

There are alternatives to the destruction of these much loved areas.

Enfield Councillors MUST see that the majority of the people in the borough DO NOT WANT TO LOSE THE GREEN BELT. As at today, over THIRTY FOUR THOUSAND people have signed a

change.org petition telling you that. I am yet to meet one person within the street of Enfield that I have spoken to, apart from Enfield Labour Councillors who voted for this draft local plan, who believe the destruction of Enfield Green Belt is a good idea and the only solution.