

---

I refer to the Draft Local Plan and wish to register my protest and complete objection to the proposals to build on Enfield's Green Belt as set out under the following Policy references and Plan numbers:

Policy SP PL9, pages 77-80 and Concept Plan Figure 3.10

Policy SP PL 10, pages 80-87, and Figure 3.11

SA45, page 364

SA52, page 372

SA54, page 374

SA62 page 383 & SP CL4 pages 277-279

Enfield Chase has been in existence for centuries as an area of open countryside and large areas were bought by Middlesex County Council in the 1930's with the explicit intention to protect it from development and urban sprawl, not only for Enfield's residents, but for London in general. Today its very existence helps to reduce London's pollution. To build thousands of houses on it will only create more pollution and increase strain on an already inadequate local infrastructure.

Enfield Chase is regarded by experts as being of national importance and, having acquired it following the demise of the Greater London Council, it is Enfield's responsibility as the current custodian to protect it from development and improve it, not to reduce its size and destroy its character through a short-term housing gain.

As has been separately reported to Enfield Council, within Enfield there are large areas of brownfield sites which to date, as in the example of Meridian Water and elsewhere, Enfield Council seem slow, unwilling or unable to progress in developing.

I also object to the suggestion for tall buildings contained within Policy DE6 (pages 156-160) and SA2 Palace Gardens Shopping Centre page 321, despite the latter being a Conservation Area.

