

---

I am writing to convey my objection to the proposed local plan which proposed an unnecessary amount of development across the Enfield Green belt. I live on the border of barnet/Enfield and enjoy the green spaces of both Boroughs.

I can't see how this proposed de-classification of great swathes of green belt on the outskirts of Enfield are in anyone's best interests. The London plan is very clear that the green belt must be protected and only developed in exceptional circumstances.

I'm sure councillors will be privately aware that in reality these residents will be very dependent on car use (I drive out that way often to use the garden centre and local shops). Crews Hill station is not usable for those with impaired mobility, there are no ramps, lifts, wheelchairs or accessible toilets. Even a family with young children would struggle to use it for a journey to do the weekly shop, for instance. To suggest that these homes will be sustainable in full knowledge that many (in the real world and not in a planning document utopia) will be reliant on cars is incredulous. Go and look at the existing houses in the area and check out how many have a car parked outside... that should tell you all you need to know about the reality of public transport of the area.

In a Borough with many brownfield sites and low density town centres the de-classification of such swathes of green belt is unjustified. The green belt should be protected, as per the London Plan, especially when there is a very real possibility of avoiding such development and still meet housing targets.