

---

I am writing in respect of the proposed development plans for the green belt area of Enfield.

I am objecting to the following policies.

SP PL10, pages 80-87 and figure 3.11; Policy SP PL9 pages 77-80 and Concept plan Figure 3.10; Policy SA 45: Land between Camlet Way and Crescent Way Hadley wood page 364; Policy SA54 page 374; and Policy SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279.

Vicarage Road Farm and the green belt area next to Trent Park on both sides of the A110 represent a green corridor to all users of that stretch of road. To be able to view openfields/countryside from the car, bus, cycle, walking lifts the heart and the spirit. It is now understood by many experts that to provide a green environment for the public is vital to combating mental health issues. The freedom to roam and appreciate nature in its fullest sense is a powerful non drugs tool.

This open space represents what Enfield is all about, our unique history, its our present and must continue to be preserved for future generations.

If this development goes ahead it won't just stop at 3000 new homes, going forward there will be an inevitable creep of supporting infrastructure, doubtless not even taken into account in the current plans. Road widening, extra sewerage, additional utilities, medical surgeries etc will continue to encroach on this land.

In addition I object to the proposal to build 3000 homes, potential for 7500 at Crews Hill. The council should be encouraging and supporting the existing local businesses in this area. This would help increase more local jobs, help local business to generate more income. Crews Hill is well known for its horticultural industry why on earth demolish and build homes, that once again will require even greater infrastructure than I am sure the current plans cater for. Indeed I am aware that plans do not require infrastructure to be shown, SO THERE WILL BE even more erosion of our green belt.

By piecemeal these developments the council is guilty of not showing local residents the true extent of the desecration of our green belt in years to come.

So once again it's the big creep, eventually ending up with ribbon development between Potters Bar and Enfield. Crews Hill is so unique no where in the South East of England can any other council boast so many horticultural and related trade companies, they and residents live in harmony. I see Sky Larks, Red Kites, Buzzards, there will be numerous small wild life in the woods and hedgerows, sparrows, hedgehogs, small deer all that will go. The council should be protecting this and not destroying it.

In addition I object to the plans to develop 11 hectares east of junction 24 of the M25, part of New Cottages and Holly Hill Farm. This is agricultural land and part of our PROTECTED GREEN BELT. If planners in the 20th century saw the need and benefits of protecting our environment for future generations what makes the current council think they know best for the future. The topology of this can trace itself back to the Anglian Ice Age 5000,000 years ago. We once again will suffer the slow creep of intrusions. It's already happening with the numerous tipper lorries using the Ridgeway which is being churned up by heavy vehicle usage. Next we'll see industrial buildings, then, what a surprise road widening to accommodate same further down the line.

The Spurs land grab at Whitewebbs needs very careful management which if I am honest I don't think the council members are capable of doing on their current showing. This land is owned by US the public, not the council! We are selling the family silver for goodness sake. We are promised a girls football academy, what tosh, this will not be available for local youngsters unless they are part of the Spurs dream team! We are being sold short. I remember when prior to Spurs taking over we actually had these facilities for youngsters, archery, rugby, football layouts.

IN SUMMARY of the above, we have the most amazing piece of countryside on our doorstep. Even the London Mayor agrees with this! It supports wild life, brings mental well being to residents, we have a green lung. During COVID lockdown the number of people walking this land gave a much needed boost to their lives. Plants and trees take up carbon from the atmosphere and yes I know the council has sneaked in more tree planting recently but unless these trees are maintained during establishment they will not survive. What

contractual arrangements are in place to facilitate this. 5 Years down the line we shall have dead trees and uprooted ones as part of the green belt destruction.

I used to proudly say that Enfield was the greenest borough in London, you are about to concrete over our green and pleasant land.

There are plenty of brown fill sites in this borough to provide new homes, be imaginative, provide amenities for the unhoused by all means but dont throw the baby out with the bath water and destroy the local environment

Finally I wish to object to pages 156-160 Figure 7.4 and Policy DE6 and SA2 Palace Gardens Shopping centre page 321.

The lessons of the destruction of Edmonton Green, approved by the Council in the 1960's and tall tower blocks built at the same time in Victoria Road Edmonton which have now been thankfully demolished as a failed social housing concept should help you realise why tall buildings should not be introduced into our Borough. They didnt work then and they wont work now. We need sympathetic development,, its not for The Deutsche Bank to destroy our heritage and YOU SHOULD BE PROTECTING US.

Before its too late please rethink these developments because once this land has been built over we can never get it back. What sort of legacy do you want to be remembered by??