
I am writing to object to the following Policies: SP PL10, pages 80-87, and Figure 3.11; Policy SP PL9, pages 77-80 and Concept Plan Figure 3.10; Policy SA45: Land Between Camlet Way and Crescent Way, Hadley Wood, page 364; Policy SA54, page 374; and Policy SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279 – all of which propose the **destruction** of Green Belt for housing and other purposes.

I also object to Policies SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279 because they transfer part of Whitewebbs Park, a public amenity, into private management. I reject the Council's analysis that Whitewebbs Golf Course was losing money and call for its reinstatement. 3. Although sadly, I see that this council has already agreed a lease with THFC.

I am also objecting to Policy SA52 page 372, which would remove part of Rammey Marsh, a wildlife area and public amenity, from the Green Belt.

I am also objecting to the tall building policies on pages 156-160, Figure 7.3, Figure 7.4 and Policy DE6, and SA2 Palace Gardens Shopping Centre page 321. Why would you want to build high

raise buildings, that will destroy the look of the High Street?

In these days of sustainability and looking after the environment. In the emphasis of clean air and open spaces. Why does this council think it a good idea to destroy the most unique thing about Enfield? It is on the edge of London, surrounded by beauty and wildlife. All under the guise of affordable, shoddy housing. If the Meridian project is anything to go by.

In lockdown, so many people discovered the walks in the countryside that is Enfield, enjoyed our beautiful borough. Have re located to our borough from more inner boroughs for this reason.

What this council seems to want to do, is make us a version of Milton Keynes, rows and rows of houses and destroy all the wildlife and green belt that should be sacred and never touched. When it is gone, it and our way of life in Enfield, will be gone forever.

I object strongly to all proposals.
