
Response to the DRAFT LOCAL PLAN REG 18 Consultation 2021

I am writing to object to this councils' proposals to destroy the green belt, displace wildlife and ruin our beautiful borough, if this plan goes through, forever.

Many people have re located to our unique borough, as it has the appeal of being the countryside, but still, in London. The pandemic brought more people, into our borough to escape London. They have discovered its walks and garden centres. The pandemic highlighted how much green space was valued and needed and it is a need that has developed. I see more and more people using the walkways of our borough. From all parts of the borough and beyond. People discovered new areas to enjoy when we were locked down. This has not abated, I am pleased to say.

Crew's hill brings in people from miles around, not just local people. Economically, why would we destroy that as well?

All this, under the guise of affordable housing, which will be anything but affordable to the people that this council claims to represent. The only winner here will be the developer. As is always the case.

We have an obligation to provide housing, but this lazy solution is not it. It needs to be provided where it is needed, where it can fulfil its purpose to be affordable. If that is truly the aim here.

The council has a duty of care to all its people and the safe keeping of the green belt. The Mayor of London is decidedly against building on the green belt. In a time in all our lives, young and old, when climate change, animal welfare and sustainability, is so in the fore of everyone's agenda. Why, would we in Enfield propose this as a plan?

We all have a moral obligation to pass on to future generations a world with a stable climate, clean air, full of abundant wildlife in our towns and countryside. A resilient, environment that values nature, for everything it does for our minds as well as our pockets. What council would destroy that and want that as a legacy?

When the countryside is gone it is gone forever and with it our old and newfound ways to enjoy and experience all it has to offer. The pandemic highlighted to us many things, and one of them, is that is that more and more of us, from all walks of life have a renewed appreciation for the countryside, its walks and wildlife. The role it plays in our wellbeing and environmental commitments to the future generations.

Therefore, for myself, my family and future generations. So that this wonderful borough is not butchered by these schemes. I object most strongly to these schemes as they have been so poorly presented.

Therefore I am objecting to all the below proposals:

I am writing to object to the following Policies: SP PL10, pages 80-87, and Figure 3.11; Policy SP PL9, pages 77-80 and Concept Plan Figure 3.10; Policy SA45: Land Between Camlet Way and Crescent Way, Hadley Wood, page 364; Policy SA54, page 374; and Policy SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279 – all of which propose the **devastation** of Green Belt for housing and other purposes.

I also object to Policies SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279 because they transfer part of Whitewebbs Park, a public amenity, into private management. I reject the Council's analysis that Whitewebbs Golf Course was losing money and call for its reinstatement. 3. Although, it would seem that the council has already agreed a lease with Spurs.

I am also objecting to Policy SA52 page 372, which would remove part of Rammey Marsh, a wildlife area and public amenity, from the Green Belt.

I am also objecting to the tall building policies on pages 156-160, Figure 7.3, Figure 7.4 and Policy DE6, and SA2 Palace Gardens Shopping Centre page 321. I moved from Finchley to Enfield, and they did this to their high street. I suggest the council visits Finchley. This monstrous high-rise building created an awful wind tunnel. Stood empty for years, as no one could afford the 'affordable' flats that were built there. The council there pulled down a cinema and built this. The whole high street changed. These decisions have repercussions forever.
