
I wish to strongly object to the following issues and policy options presented in this document.

Policy SP PL10 pages 80-87 - 3000 new houses on Vicarage Farm.
Apart from being Green Belt land which is supposed to be protected from development, this is part of the Salmons Brook Flood Alleviation Scheme, therefore unsuitable for development.

Policy SP PL9 pages 77-80 - 3000 new houses at Crews Hill.
This area is NOT a transport hub. There are 2 trains an hour from Crews Hill station, outside of rush hour. The new 456 bus does not even go to the station, but terminates at Golf Ride, off Theobalds Park Road. Where will all the extra residents go for employment, schools, doctors, hospitals, shops etc. They will certainly be using cars to go everywhere, and the congestion in and out of Crews Hill will be horrendous. Also, the plan for this area certainly does not provide the type of housing that Enfield needs i.e. affordable and social housing.

Policy DM DE6 pages 156-160 - Tall Buildings.
The tall buildings we already have in Enfield e.g. Civic Centre, the flats in Sydney Road, Southbury Road (next to Enfield Town station), Brigadier Hill, Goat Lane, already detrimentally dominate these areas. Not to mention those proposed for Windmill Hill, 100 Church Street, Enfield and the Palace Gardens shopping centres.

Enfield Town is in the conservation area and is entirely unsuitable for any high rise building higher than any existing buildings.

The London Plan defines 'Tall' as over 21 metres with an allowance of 3m per floor - so a building of 7 floors should be the maximum allowed. None of the above mentioned buildings make 'a positive contribution to the townscape and the skyline'.

Appendix C - Site Allocation Proformas pages 320 - 364

I must say that the quality and clarity of the maps used in this section is very unsatisfactory and one is unable to discern the detail one would like. E.g. pages 154, 157 & 158 also.

