

---

**Response to the DRAFT LOCAL PLAN REG 18 Consultation 2021**

-  
-

I am writing to object to the following Policies: SP PL10, pages 80-87, and Figure 3.11; Policy SP PL9, pages 77-80 and Concept Plan Figure 3.10; Policy SA45: Land Between Camlet Way and Crescent Way, Hadley Wood, page 364; Policy SA54, page 374; and Policy SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279 – all of which propose the **devastation** of Green Belt for housing and other purposes.

I also object to Policies SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279 because they transfer part of Whitewebbs Park, a public amenity, into private management. I reject the Council's analysis that Whitewebbs Golf Course was losing money and call for its reinstatement. 3. Has this already been agreed with a football club?

I am also objecting to Policy SA52 page 372, which would remove part of Rammey Marsh, a wildlife area and public amenity, from the Green Belt.

I am also objecting to the tall building policies on pages 156-160, Figure 7.3, Figure 7.4 and Policy DE6, and SA2 Palace Gardens Shopping Centre page 321.

This councils' proposals to destroy the green belt, displace wildlife and ruin our beautiful borough, with a poorly thought-out scheme for housing. The developer will be the only winner here, not the boroughs residents that need affordable housing.

The council has a duty of care to all its people and the safe keeping of the green belt. The Mayor of London is decidedly against building on the green belt. In a time in all our lives, young and old, when climate change, animal welfare and sustainability, is so in the fore of everyone's agenda. Why, would we in Enfield propose this as a plan?

Many people have re located to our borough, as it has the appeal of being the countryside, but still, in London. In these days of sustainability and looking after the environment, with the emphasis on clean air and open spaces. Why does this council think it is good idea to destroy Enfield's historic countryside's?.

The pandemic bought more people into our borough to discover its walks and garden centres. Crew's hill brings in people from miles around, not just local people. Economically, why would we destroy that as well?

-

**Therefore I am objecting to all the above proposals:**

---