

---

I am writing to object to several planned policies which propose the dedesignation of Green Belt land for housing and other purposes.

Specifically I object to policies SP PL10, pages 80-87, and Figure 3.11; Policy SP PL9, pages 77-80 and Concept Plan Figure 3.10; Policy SA45: Land Between Camlet Way and Crescent Way, Hadley Wood, page 364; Policy SA54, page 374; and Policy SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279. The loss of this land is the loss of a community asset forever when other non-greenbelt options are available. Massive building on this asset would impact the enjoyment, mental health and wellbeing of those across the borough (and beyond), not just those in the local vicinity. It sets up the prospect of yet another a terrible loss – what green belt land will ever be safe from development?

I also object to Policies SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279 regarding the transfer of Whitewebbs Park. Again this is the removal of a public amenity which provided benefit to local golfers as well as to all Enfield residents who were able to enjoy the extensive rights of way across the grounds. This is another asset that would be lost to general residents simply for the benefit of a private concern. Despite references to community benefits/leisure activities Tottenham Hotspur do not operate as a charity and significant areas of land would be removed from public use.

Lastly, I object to the tall building policies on pages 156-160, Figure 7.3, Figure 7.4 and Policy DE6, and SA2 Palace Gardens Shopping Centre page 321. The plan would destroy the area by introducing unacceptably tall buildings to ruin the landscape and would also severely impact sensitive locations such as the town centre conservation area. As stated in the policy, other lower-rise building forms could provide the same accommodation.

---