

---

I am writing to object to the following Policies: SP PL10, pages 80-87, and Figure 3.11; Policy SP PL9, pages 77-80 and Concept Plan Figure 3.10; Policy SA45: Land Between Camlet Way and Crescent Way, Hadley Wood, page 364; Policy SA54, page 374; and Policy SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279 – all of which propose the de-designation of Green Belt for housing and other purposes.

Following the failure of the flagship regeneration scheme at Meridian Water, Enfield Council are now scrambling to meet the new housing target set by City Hall. Having failed to meet previous lower targets the council are proposing to build thousands of homes on Green Belt land. Local Councillors have argued that the new scheme will address housing inequalities in the borough, but it will only serve to generate enormous profit for property developers but will fail to deliver affordable, appropriate housing for Enfield's residents.

The proposed sites are part of historic Enfield Chase, which is unique in the southeast and played an important role in the development of Enfield. It is a rare and valuable landscape asset and its loss would cause permanent harm not only to the Green Belt, but also to the very character of the borough. If these sites can be so readily de-designated then no Green Belt land or conservation area, in London or elsewhere, will be safe from development in the future.

Enfield's Green Belt land has played a vital role in the physical and mental health and wellbeing of local residents and must be preserved for the enjoyment of future generations. In view of the climate crisis, I urge the council to carefully consider the sustainability of a scheme that prioritises profit over conservation and the environment. If approved, this development will set a precedence for the creation of similar developments in the future, resulting in the large-scale and irreversible destruction of our suburbs.

I also object to Policies SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279 because they transfer part of Whitewebbs Park, a public amenity, into private management. I reject the Council's analysis that Whitewebbs Golf Course was losing money and call for its reinstatement. The huge expansion of the THFC training ground will result in large areas of Whitewebbs being closed for private use, removing yet more public amenity land from local residents.

I am also objecting to the tall building policies on pages 156-160, Figure 7.3, Figure 7.4 and Policy DE6, and SA2 Palace Gardens Shopping Centre page 321 which propose areas for and the acceptable height of tall buildings which, in many cases would mar the landscape and are unnecessary because other lower-rise building forms could provide the same accommodation, as stated in the policy. This policy encourages the development of tall buildings across the borough, including in conservation areas where they would be completely inappropriate.

