

---

Thank you for the opportunity to respond to this important consultation, and I hope you will genuinely consider all the feedback you receive and make changes as suggested.

I am writing to object to the following Policies:

SP PL10, pages 80-87, and Figure 3.11; Policy SP PL9, pages 77-80 and Concept Plan Figure 3.10; Policy SA45: Land Between Camlet Way and Crescent Way, Hadley Wood, page 364; Policy SA54, page 374; and Policy SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-279 - all of which propose the dedesignation of Green Belt for housing and other purposes.

These sites played an important role in Enfield's development and are part of the historic Enfield Chase. The loss of this rare and valuable landscape would cause permanent harm to the Green Belt and also to the very character of the borough.

Crews Hill is very important to the borough and is well known not just within Enfield but by many outside of the borough as well; for its garden centres and numerous other businesses and its loss would be devastating. Instead of losing Crews Hill for housing, its horticultural activities should be encouraged and enhanced so that it can again be a hub for food and plant production. Whitewebbs golf course has already closed and to lose Crews Hill golf course would be another blow for Enfield

As is well known, Vicarage Farm is crossed by the Merryhills Way footpath, much-used by Enfield residents and others for exercise and relaxation and the physical and mental health attributes of the footpath would be destroyed by development. The farmland could be put back into productive use growing local food for local people.

While I support housing development and appreciate the need, especially of affordable housing, I object strongly to the loss of Green Belt for housing and other purposes. The Green belt is too valuable to lose for all the many environmental, ecological, economic, public health and other reasons including in light of climate change.

I believe that there are alternatives available to meet housing targets on brownfield sites, as outlined by the Enfield Society, and that the Green Belt is a precious resource that should be protected and preserved for future generations. The Council has a duty of care for the Green Belt, in accordance with the London Plan and the National Planning Policy Framework [NPPF], and any intentions to release parts of it should be removed from the local plan.

I could say more but am aware that much will have been covered in more detail by others, and my strong objection, as detailed above, is to losing vital green belt land.

I confirm that the comments provided in this response to the consultation are my own views.

