

---

I am writing to object to a number of policies contained in the draft Enfield Plan.

1) Firstly I am writing to strongly object to all of the proposals within the plan which would use the Green Belt for housing and other purposes. In particular I object to the following policies:

SP PL10 pages 80-87 and Figure 3.11

SP PL9 pages 77-80 and Concept Plan Figure 3.10

SA45:Land Between Camlet Way and Crescent Way, Hadley Wood, page 364

SA54 page 374

SA62 page 383 and

SPCL4 pages 277-279

The sites that you propose to use form part of the historic Enfield Chase which is both a rare and valuable landscape asset and its loss would cause permanent harm not only to the Green Belt but to the very character of the borough.

2) I also object to Policies SA62 page 383 and SP CL4 pages 277-79 because they transfer part of Whitewebbs Park, a public amenity into private management.

3) I am strongly objecting to the tall building policies on pages 156-160, Figure 7.3, Figure 7.4 and Policy DE6 and SA2 Palace Gardens Shopping Centre page 321 which propose areas for and the acceptable height of tall buildings which in many cases would mar the landscape and are not necessary because other lower-rise building forms could provide the same accommodation as stated in the policy and in my view the latter would provide better places for people to be housed (especially for their mental health).