

---

I am writing to comment on and object to parts of your local plan:

1. Firstly and generally other local authorities have been creative with their plans because they do not have the easy option of using green belt land. Perhaps Enfield could take the lead from them. If they can manage the housing problem, surely Enfield could too.

2. The results from the 2021 Census are due in 2022 so why is the council not waiting to see what is actually needed - as you will know projections can be notoriously shaky for accurate planning.

3. You talk about statutory duty for providing homes. As you well know there is no statutory duty to provide any fixed number of homes each year. You also know that London National Park City does not support the loss of green belt land yet you use both these arguments in a very misleading way, perhaps even legally dubious!

4. I support the Meridian way development but compared with development in other areas such as Tottenham Hale it seems to be taking an incredibly long time.

5. Specifically

I object to the following policies:

SP PL10, pages 80-87 and Figure 3.11

SP PL9, pages 77-80 and concept plan figure 3.10

SA45: land between Camlet Way and Crescent Way, Hadley Wood page 364

SA54, page 374 and policy SA62 page 383

SA52 page 372 - removal of part of Rammey Way from the Green Belt

SP CL4 pages 277-279

All of the above propose the dedesignation of Green Belt land for housing and other purposes. Green Belt land was created to stop this very thing and should not be used until every thing else has been exhausted.

6. I also object to SP PL2 (Southbury). Updating these areas is good but i would object strongly to the loss of the shopping amenities such as Sainsburys and Collosseum retail park as they incredibly useful to Enfield residents and would mean significantly more travelling to shop in similar shops.

7. I also object to tall building policies on pages 156-160, Figure 7.3 and policy DE6 and SA2 Palace Gardens Shopping Centre page 321 because as you state yourself in the plan lower rise buildings could provide very much the same accommodation.

As representatives of the residents of Enfield I very much hope you listen to what your residents are saying and ensure your moral compass is strong when making decisions on behalf of us, doing the right thing not necessarily the easy thing.