
Sent: 21 September 2021 16:14

To: LocalPlan <LocalPlan@Enfield.gov.u

Dear Enfield colleagues

We are grateful for being made aware of your consultation, I apologise for this response being submitted after the deadline.

Following on from LB Brent's response to Enfield's Functional Economic Market Area (FEMA) Study in June 2020, I have looked at the range of policies set out in the latest version of the LB Enfield draft Local Plan. LB Brent planning policy is supportive of the policy approach set out, and for LB Enfield to pursue it in any future Regulation 19 plan.

There have not been any significant changes in circumstances in terms of in the previous opinion that I supplied that there is limited likelihood of delivery within Brent (where the Council is still LPA) of significant additional amounts of industrial floorspace identified as being required through the GLA's LILDS. Whilst rents have increased significantly in the last two years, associated interest in providing new or intensified industrial floorspace through planning applications has not materialised to any significant degree. The only active sector has been self-storage facilities, which due to their extensive use of mezzanine floors do not contribute to increases in recognised floorspace stock. As such, whilst Brent forms part of Enfield's FEMA it will not be likely to provide industrial floorspace volumes that would remove the necessity for Enfield to seek to deliver amounts for its own needs set out in its evidence base.

On this basis the range of preferred policies set out in SP E1 B, SP E2, SP E3, SP E5 together with DM E6 and DM E7 are considered by LB Brent planning policy as an appropriate way for LB Enfield to ensure that industrial needs identified can be delivered. This is as they seek to identify new opportunities to provide for additional industrial floorspace, whilst also seeking to protect and make best use of existing floorspace.

If you need to discuss this matter further to clarify what has been written, or wish for us to engage in any statements associated with the duty to co-operate then please do not hesitate to get in touch.

Regards
