
3,000 new houses at a ‘deeply green’ ‘sustainable urban extension’ referred to as ‘Chase Park’ (also known as Vicarage Farm) on the open Green Belt countryside next to Trent Park either side of the A110 (Enfield Road) between Oakwood and Enfield town ([Policy SP PL 10, pages 80-87, and Figure 3.11](#)); As detailed in John Langtons objection to Enfield Council this is one of the last surviving examples of a "chase" in the country and is of historical importance, this area should not be impacted by a housing estate but should be preserved "in law" for future generations. It seems that "green belt" status does not give this area sufficient protection from Enfield Council's housing plan. **I strongly object to this proposal.**

3,000 new houses in a ‘sustainable settlement’ at Crews Hill with the potential for longer term expansion ([Policy SP PL9, pages 77-80 and Concept Plan Figure 3.10](#)); This area is home to many flourishing businesses and I am sure brings lots of visitors from outside the borough. 3000 new houses (a housing estate) would ruin the rural feel of the area, the countryside that surrounds the more urban parts of our borough is what makes Enfield a nice place to live, you do not feel hemmed in by buildings on all sides, your plans to extensively build on this and other areas negatively impacts the borough itself. Plans for potential longer term expansion will see Enfield joined with Cuffley, again this does not benefit either area. Even though Crews Hill is connected to a train station the road network is very limited. If the planning were for limited housing on just the brownfield sites in Crews Hill that would be more acceptable. **I object to this proposal.**

160 homes in Green Belt countryside at Hadley Wood ([SA45: Land Between Camlet Way and Crescent Way, Hadley Wood, page 364](#)); This is good quality green belt land and should be protected, Londoners need to have green space for physical and mental wellbeing. **I object to this proposal.**

11 hectares of new industrial and storage and distribution use at what is currently agricultural land east of Junction 24 of the M25 at part of new Cottages and Holly Hill Farm within Enfield Chase ([SA54, page 374](#)); The local plan map is not very clear, but it does state that this industrial storage will impact Enfield Chase and Camlet Moat. We already have the printing industrial area, this will be another eyesore within our borough. **I object to this proposal.**

Encouragement for tall buildings, including in sensitive locations such as the town centre conservation area ([see pages 156-60, Figure 7.3, Figure 7.4 and Policy DE6, and SA2 Palace Gardens Shopping centre page 321](#)). A [higher quality version of figure 7.4](#) is also available, showing proposed maximum building heights across the Borough. There are far too many proposals for very tall buildings in our borough, some do not sit well within their locations near heritage sites, the nature of the buildings means that the housing available will be flats, Enfield has lot of young families and we need to cater for their housing needs and the pandemic has shown us the downsides of living in properties with no outside space or connection to nature. **I object to this proposal for encouragement of tall buildings.**

There are many brownfield sites within the borough, Enfield Council should concentrate their efforts on these areas as once the green belt land has gone, especially areas of historical importance, it is gone forever.

